MOLECULAR PLANT PATHOLOGY (2018) 19(9), 2053-2065

### Pathogen profile

## *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *pruni*, causal agent of bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond: its genomic and phenotypic characteristics in the *X. arboricola* species context

JERSON GARITA-CAMBRONERO<sup>1,2</sup>, ANA PALACIO-BIELSA<sup>3</sup> AND JAIME CUBERO <sup>[0],\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Protección Vegetal, Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), Madrid 28040, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Centro de Investigación de Biocombustibles y Bioproductos, Instituto Tecnológico Agrario de Castilla y León (ITACyL), Villarejo de Órbigo 24358, León, Spain <sup>3</sup> Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria de Aragón, Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón-IA2 - (CITA - Universidad de Zaragoza), Zaragoza 50059, Spain

#### SUMMARY

**BACKGROUND:** Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (Xap) causes bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond, an important disease that may reduce the yield and vigour of the trees, as well as the marketability of affected fruits. Xap lies within the Xanthomonas genus, which has been intensively studied because of its strain specialization and host range complexity. Here, we summarize the recent advances in our understanding of the complexities of Xap, including studies of the molecular features that result after comparative phenotypic and genomic analyses, in order to obtain a clearer overview of the bacterial behaviour and infection mechanism in the context of the X. arboricola species.

**TAXONOMIC STATUS:** Bacteria; Phylum *Proteobacteria*; Class *Gammaproteobacteria*; Order *Xanthomonadales*; Family *Xanthomonadaceae*; Genus *Xanthomonas*; Species *X. arboricola*; Pathovar *pruni*.

**HOST RANGE AND SYMPTOMS:** *Xap* infects most *Prunus* species, including apricot, peach, nectarine, plum and almond, and occasionally cherry. Symptoms are found on leaves, fruits, twigs and branches or trunks. In severe infections, defoliation and fruit dropping may occur.

**DISTRIBUTION:** Bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond is worldwide in distribution, with *Xap* being isolated in Africa, North and South America, Asia, Europe and Oceania. It is a common disease in geographical areas in which stone fruits and almonds are grown. *Xap* is listed as a quarantine organism in several areas of the world.

**GENOME:** The genomes of six isolates from *Xap* have been publicly released. The genome consists of a single chromosome of around 5 000 000 bp with 65 mol% GC content and an extrachromosomal plasmid element of around 41 000 bp with 62 mol% GC content. Genomic comparative studies in *X. arboricola* have allowed the identification of putative virulence components associated with the infection process of bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond.

\* Correspondence: Email: cubero@inia.es

**DISEASE CONTROL:** Management of bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond is based on an integrated approach that comprises essential measures to avoid *Xap* introduction in a production zone, as well as the use of tolerant or resistant plant material and chemical treatments, mainly based on copper compounds. Management programmes also include the use of appropriate cultivation practices when the disease is already established. Finally, for the effective control of the disease, appropriate detection and characterization methods are needed for use in symptomatic or asymptomatic samples as a first approach for pathogen exclusion.

**USEFUL WEBSITES:** https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/XANTPR; http://www.cost.eu/COST\_Actions/ca/CA16107; http://www.xanthomonas.org

Keywords: bacterial spot, Prunus, Xanthomonas.

#### XANTHOMONAS ARBORICOLA: CAUSAL AGENT OF PLANT DISEASES, BUT NOT ONLY THAT

*Xanthomonas arboricola* is essentially a bacterial complex predominantly associated with crop diseases in a wide range of plant hosts (Lamichhane, 2014). Strains of *X. arboricola* species consist of a bacterial group with a high host specialization. Most of the strains of the species have been identified to be pathogenic in herbaceous or woody plants, but, in the last few years, other strains, e.g. in the pathovars *celebensis, fragariae* and *populi*, and other non-pathovar-assigned strains, have been considered to be nonpathogenic, low-virulent or opportunistic pathogens (Essakhi *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, some of these non-pathogenic xanthomonads strains have been found to cohabit with pathogenic strains on the same host plant (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2016a,b). The existence of pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains phylogenetically close, but drastically different in their phenotype, makes *X. arboricola* a useful model to perform comparative microbe-plant interaction studies in order to reveal the pathogenicity and host range mechanisms.

Xanthomonas arboricola pv. arracaciae, X. arboricola pv. celebensis, X. arboricola pv. corylina, X. arboricola pv. fragariae, X. arboricola pv. quizotiae, X. arboricola pv. juglandis, X. arboricola pv. populi, X. arboricola pv. pruni and X. arboricola py. *zantesdeschiae* are the nine pathovars proposed according to the host range of the species (Fischer-Le Saux et al., 2015). Among these pathovars, corylina, juglandis and pruni are considered to be the most virulent and economically important. Indeed, pathovars corvlina and pruni are classified as guarantine pests in many countries, for instance in the European Union (EFSA PLH Panel, 2014; OJEC, 2000; Fischer-Le Saux et al., 2015). With regard to the remaining six pathovars of X. arboricola, all have been defined as composed of "poorly pathogenic strains" or "saprophytic or opportunistic pathogens", not being responsible for pandemics in a defined host range (Fischer-Le Saux et al., 2015; Haworth and Spiers, 1992; Hayward, 1993; Lamichhane, 2014; Vandroemme et al., 2013a).

The disease symptoms produced by the pathovars corylina, juglandis and pruni have been characterized, as infected plants show angular necrotic spots and cankers on the leaves, branches and fruits, and, in severe infections, the trees may be weakened, being less productive. In all cases, the diseased fruits are generally commercially unacceptable because of their symptoms which make them unmarketable. Intuitively, infections produced by these pathogens in their respective hosts appear comparable and yield similar damage. The substantive differences amongst these three most virulent pathovars are the specific adaptations of the bacteria to the host to create an optimum environment for their survival and proliferation: for instance, by specific mobilization of nutrients or by blocking the plant defence mechanisms developed to prevent infection. Host specificity is the result of different adaptive mechanisms that involve all stages of the infection process, starting with the first contact of the bacterium with the plant, and continuing with proliferation inside the tissues (Jacques et al., 2016). The breakdown in resistance associated with the host is, in various xanthomonads, a result of the inactivation of avirulence genes or suppression of pattern-triggered (PTI) and effector-triggered (ETI) immunity (Bigeard et al., 2015; Jacques et al., 2016). In X. arboricola, the suppression of plant immunity has been demonstrated to be correlated with the host range according to the repertoires of type III effectors (T3Es) of the type III secretion systems (T3SSs) (Jacques et al., 2016).

Xanthomonas arboricola encompasses bacterial strains that cannot be assigned to any of the existing pathovars, although sometimes were initially wrongly assigned to an incorrect pathovar (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017). These bacteria may provide a source for the exchange of genetic material and may be involved in the evolution of pathogenic lineages (Jacques *et al.*, 2016). Although the three major pathovars, *pruni*, *corylina* and *juglandis*, are well defined by three clonal complexes with a common ancestor, the other strains of the species, either weakly or not pathogenic, are genetically and phylogenetically heterogeneous.

Amongst the major pathogens, pathovar *pruni* is the most monomorphic, being highly adapted to *Prunus* spp. with a prominent pathogenicity, and showing a low genetic variation amongst strains (Fischer-Le Saux *et al.*, 2015).

#### DISTRIBUTION AND ECONOMIC IMPACT OF BACTERIAL SPOT OF STONE FRUITS AND ALMOND

Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (Xap) is a pathogen highly specialized to infect Prunus species and is responsible for important economic losses in this crop worldwide (Stefani, 2010). Xap is currently present in the five continents, in almost all countries with stone fruit production (EFSA PLH Panel, 2014). The distribution of bacterial spot disease is not homogeneous, being considered widespread in some countries, whereas, in others, it is under eradication and only local and sporadic outbreaks have been registered. Surprisingly, reports of Xap on almond and, particularly, on cherry are scarce (Jami et al., 2005; Palacio-Bielsa et al., 2010). International trade has led to the long-distance dissemination of Xap, mainly through latently infected plant material used for propagation (Goodman and Hatting, 1986; López-Soriano et al., 2016). At short distance, rain, wind and contaminated pruning tools or machinery can spread Xap amongst trees and nearby plots (Goodman, 1988). The disease is more frequent and severe in areas with a temperate and humid climate. Warm temperatures (19-28 °C) and high humidity favour the multiplication of the bacterium (Morales et al., 2017).

The economic impact of the disease is a result of the reduced quality and marketability of fruits, reduced orchard productivity and increased costs of nursery production (Stefani, 2010). There is scarce information about the real cost associated with disease outbreaks. In the USA, 25%-75% of fruits showed lesions in neglected peach orchards and, in South America, the presence of Xap caused severe losses and limited the production of susceptible cultivars of peach and nectarine (Palacio-Bielsa et al., 2015; Stefani, 2010). With regard to Europe, it has been estimated that a hypothetical outbreak of the disease in a commercial plum orchard in the Emilia Romagna area (northern Italy), affecting 30% of fruits, could result in crop losses of over 9500 or 11 200€/ha (Stefani, 2010). In Spain, yield losses in commercial almond orchards in northern Spain ranged between 23% and 47% of production in 2013 and 2014 (Palacio-Bielsa et al., 2015). Currently, the presence of Xap is also a problem for ornamental plant nurseries, mainly for those producing cherry laurel in the Netherlands destined for international trade (Tjou-Tam-Sin *et al.*, 2012).

#### XANTHOMONAS ARBORICOLA PV. PRUNI: AN EXAMPLE OF HOST ADAPTATION AND NO SPECIFIC SYMPTOMATOLOGY

The natural hosts of *Xap* are *Prunus* species, especially cultivated fruit crops and their hybrids, such as European and Japanese plum, peach and nectarine, apricot, almond, and sweet and sour cherry. Other hosts are several ornamental and spontaneous *Prunus* species, such as Japanese apricot, Chinese wild peach (*P. davidiana*), cherry laurel, *P. buergeriana*, *P. crassipes* and *P. donarium* (EFSA PLH Panel, 2014).

Studies to elucidate the factors that contribute to the *Xap* host range, and the different stages in the infection process, have revealed differences between *Xap* and the other *X. arboricola* pathovars, and between *Xap* and the other *Xanthomonas* spp. that infect *Prunus* spp. Dissimilarity was found in the content of genes involved in the initial mechanisms of infection and in the virulence elements that participate in the creation of a favourable environment for the bacterial colonization and infection process (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017; Jacques *et al.*, 2016). The T3SS has been revealed as being particularly important in the virulence of *Xap*, being one of the pathovars with the largest effector repertoire, which is in accordance with its virulence (Lamichhane, 2014).

With regard to the symptoms of bacterial spot, these can be observed on the leaves, fruits, twigs and branches, but no symptoms occur in flowers. Although some differences may be observed, symptoms have common features in all Prunus species (EFSA PLH Panel, 2014; Roselló et al., 2012). At the early stages, infection in leaves is revealed by the appearance of small translucent or pale green-coloured spots, which evolve to dark or black necrosis and enlarge through time. These lesions are polygonal, delimited by the side ribs, visible on both sides of the leaves and are often clustered in areas of water accumulation, such as the central nerve and the apical edge of the leaf (Fig. 1A). In peach and nectarine (*P. persica* and *P. persica* var. nucipersica, respectively), a characteristic gradient of colour (brown to yellow to green) can be observed in the apical part of some leaves (Fig. 1B) and, when they are severely affected, they turn yellow and drop. In almond (P. amygdalus, syn. P. dulcis), yellowing of the leaves and defoliation are rarely observed (Palacio-Bielsa et al., 2010; Roselló et al., 2012). In European and Japanese plum (P. domestica and P. salicina, respectively), sweet and sour cherry (P. avium and P. cerasus, respectively) and cherry laurel (P. lauroceraus), leaves remain on the tree and acquire a shot-hole appearance on detachment of dried tissues (Fig. 1C).



**Fig. 1** Symptoms of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *pruni* on *Prunus* spp. (A) Leaf spot clustering on almond leaves. (B) Leaf spots on peach, followed by yellowing and browning. (C) Shot-hole appearance on plum leaf. Characteristic lesions on peach (D), apricot (E) and plum (F) fruits. (G) Initial sunken and corky almond nut lesions (right), and latter raised lesions on dehydrated mesocarp (left). (H) Characteristic branch canker on plum. Photographs courtesy of M. A. Cambra.

In peach, nectarine, apricot (*P. armeniaca*) and plum fruits, small brown depressed spots surrounded by a yellowish-green halo can be observed. As the fruits grow, the spots become necrotic and can be grouped, taking irregular shapes. Pitting and cracking may occur, and sometimes gummy exudates appear (Fig. 1D–F). Symptoms on almond are very characteristic. During spring, sunken, corky lesions, oozing gum that streams or clumps, can be observed. The sunken lesions become raised following dehydration of the mesocarp in summer, and these areas remain attached to the endocarp preventing the natural peeling of the

fruits (Fig. 1G). In some cases, dark spots are observed on the endocarp, which can affect the nut. Infected fruits either drop prematurely or remain on the tree after harvest (Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2010; Roselló *et al.*, 2012).

Damage to the branches and trunk is not as frequent as in the leaves and fruits. Canker symptoms occur on plum trees, but are rare on peach and almond trees (Battilani *et al.*, 1999; Roselló *et al.*, 2012; Stefani, 2010). When lesions appear in twigs, they are dark and elongated, slightly depressed and often have a shiny, greasy appearance and water-soaked margins. If lesions expand, they can girdle the twig and cause tip dieback. Early twig infection can lead to canker in late summer. Unlike the cankers on peach, those on plum are perennial and develop continuously on young twigs, and can lead to the formation of large cankers affecting the branches and trunk (Fig. 1H). In severe infections, twigs may be strangled and cause tip dieback, leading to defoliation, fruit drop and plant debilitation (Lamichhane, 2014).

Symptoms of bacterial spot disease can sometimes be confused with injuries caused by other bacteria, fungi, viruses or nutrient deficiencies, or abiotic factors such as wounds caused by wind or cultural practices (Lamichhane, 2014; Roselló *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, a diagnostic analysis is required to confirm the presence of *Xap* in order to take appropriate management decisions.

#### TAXONOMY AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CAUSAL AGENT OF BACTERIAL SPOT OF STONE FRUITS AND ALMOND

Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (Vauterin et al., 1995) (Gammaproteobacteria, Xanthomonadales, Xanthomonadaceae) is a Gram-negative, rod-shaped, monoflagellated, motile, nonsporulating aerobic bacterium. According to the reactions in the Biolog GN2 system, strains from this pathovar are able to metabolize  $\alpha$ -b-glucose,  $\alpha$ -ketoglutaric acid, bromosuccinic acid, D-cellobiose, D-fructose, D-mannose, D-psicose, D-trehalose, dextrin, glycyl-L-glutamic acid, L-glutamic acid, L-proline, L-serine, pyruvic acid methyl ester, succinic acid, succinic acid monomethyl ester, sucrose and Tween 20 (Garita-Cambronero et al., 2016b,c).

Multilocus sequence analyses (MLSAs), based on the genes *dnaK*, *fyuA*, *gyrB* and *rpoD* (Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017; Young *et al.*, 2008), or on the partial sequences of *atpD*, *efp* and *glnA* (Boudon *et al.*, 2005; Fischer-Le Saux *et al.*, 2015), have been useful in differentiating the pathovar *pruni* from the other pathovars of the species, confirming its phylogenetic proximity to the pathovars *corylina* and *juglandis*. These studies have concluded that these pathovars form monophyletic groups with a low genetic diversity amongst their strains, and must be considered as clonal complexes. Genetic variability amongst strains of *Xap* was also assessed by integron gene cassette array, as well as with BOX-PCR and repetitive extragenic palindromic-polymerase chain reaction (rep-PCR) (Barionovi and Scortichini, 2006; Kawaguchi,

2014). Low genetic variability amongst *Xap* strains was shown, although the study indicated that integrons played a role in configuring the genetic diversity of this species. Despite this, none of the patterns observed in *Xap* were associated with any of the host plants or the geographical region from which the strains were isolated.

Moreover, new studies based on whole-genome analysis, using either concatenated sequences of the core group of genes of *X. arboricola* (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2016b,c) or pangenomic analysis of at least 7074 protein coding sequences, established pathovar *pruni* as a monophyletic group; however, in contrast with the observations of MLSA, slight differences were observed in strains from different geographical origins. Despite this, the average nucleotide identity amongst all members of this pathovar was over 99% (Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017) (Fig. 2; Table 1).

Recently, a multilocus variable number of tandem repeats (MLVA) scheme has determined that at least 23 loci are reliable for the assessment of the genetic variability at the intrapathovar level, even at small spatiotemporal scales (Bergsma-Vlami *et al.*, 2012; Cesbron *et al.*, 2014; López-Soriano *et al.*, 2016). This approach provided the ability to classify 239 strains of *Xap*, recorded in the early 2000s in Spain, into 119 different MLVA haplotypes subdivided into 18 genetic clusters. Furthermore, the 25 *Xap* strains from a world collection analysed in this work were classified into 23 haplotypes, meaning that almost every strain formed a unique haplotype. At the same time, the Spanish strains were clearly separated from those of the worldwide collection (López-Soriano *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, MLVA showed a high discriminatory power in *Xap* to the extent that determined the potential multiple introduction of this pathogen in Spain.

After a phenotypic and genotypic characterization of a representative group of Xanthomonas strains associated with Prunus from Spanish outbreaks of bacterial spot, some Xanthomonas-like colonies were not clustered with Xap according to the MLSA scheme mentioned above (Garita-Cambronero et al., 2016b,c, 2017). Moreover, three strains isolated from nectarine have been proposed recently as potential novel species of Xanthomonas associated with bacterial spot in nectarine (López-Soriano, 2017). In addition, another seven strains were identified as members of X. arboricola, but could not be placed within any of the nine pathovars described for this species (Garita-Cambronero et al., 2017). Interestingly, these "atypical" strains have been found not only in *Prunus*, but also in other hosts, such as *Juglans* spp., where they have been described as sharing the habitat with strains of X. arboricola pv. juglandis (Cesbron et al., 2015; Jacques et al., 2016). In Prunus, these strains differed from Xap in several phenotypic characters, such as the swarming motility pattern (dendritic or circular colony), the utilization of carbon compounds, the chemotactic activity and, primarily, in their ability to cause disease on



Fig. 2 Average nucleotide identity (ANI) amongst the whole genomes from strains of pathogenic [Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina (blue), X. arboricola pv. juglandis (green) and X. arboricola pv. pruni (red)] and low pathogenic or non-pathogenic strains of X. arboricola (black).

almond, apricot, peach and plum (Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2016b,c).

#### COMPARATIVE GENOMICS AND DESCRIPTION OF THE VIRULENCE FACTORS PRESENT IN *XAP*

Whole-genome comparative analysis within *X. arboricola* species has revealed not only that these *Prunus* non-pathogenic strains cannot be clustered within the pathovar *pruni*, but also that they are phylogenetically related to a heterogeneous group of non-pathogenic or low-virulent strains isolated from a wide host range, such as banana, barley and walnut (Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017) (Fig. 2).

In addition to these phylogenetic analyses, initial descriptions of these genomes have permitted the ability to find variation amongst the pathogenic features presented in this low-virulent group and those members of the most virulent pathovars. Most showed remarkable variants in genomic regions associated with the regulation and structure of the hrc/hrp T3SS and related T3Es (Essakhi *et al.*, 2015; Ignatov *et al.*, 2015). In the light of evidence of the existence of pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of *X. arboricola*, apparently evolving in sympatry on *Prunus* spp., potential virulence factors associated with the development of bacterial spot disease have been identified (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2016b,c, 2017).

The public availability of the genome sequence of *X. arboricola* pv. *juglandis* NCPPB 1447 in 2012 marked the beginning of the genomics era for *X. arboricola*. Since then, the efforts to generate genomic data for this species have increased significantly. To date, genome sequences of 29 strains have been obtained (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2014, 2016a,b,c, 2017; Gétaz *et al.*, 2018; Harrison *et al.*, 2016; Higuera *et al.*, 2015; Ibarra Caballero *et al.*, 2013; Ignatov *et al.*, 2015; López-Soriano *et al.*, 2016), as well as the whole sequence of the plasmid pXap41 of *Xap* (Pothier *et al.*, 2011) (Table 1).

	Strain	GenBank accession	Size (Mb)	GC%	Genes	Proteins
Xanthomonas arboricola	CITA 14	LXIB01	4.86	65.6	4061	3870
Xanthomonas arboricola	CITA 44	LJGM01	4.76	65.8	4002	3728
Xanthomonas arboricola	CITA 124	LXKK01	4.75	65.8	4086	3798
Xanthomonas arboricola	CFBP 7634	JZEH01	4.93	65.6	4110	4006
Xanthomonas arboricola	CFBP 7651	JZEI01	5.03	65.5	4191	4086
Xanthomonas arboricola	3004	AZQY01	4.76	66.0	3997	3775
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. celebensis	NCPPB 1630	JPHE01	4.98	65.5	4113	3977
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. celebensis	NCPPB 1832	JPHC01	4.90	65.6	4081	3967
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina	NCCB 100457	APMC02	5.22	65.5	4365	4089
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	Xaj 417	CP012251.1	5.21	65.4	4358	4188
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	J303	LSGZ01	5.06	65.5	4262	4040
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	CFBP 2528	JZEF01	5.08	65.5	4263	4095
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	CFBP 7179	JZEG01	5.15	65.4	4351	4194
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	NCPPB 1447	AJTL01	5.02	65.4	4308	3921
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	Xaj2	LHBK01	5.10	65.4	4266	4081
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	Xaj43a	LHBT01	5.14	65.4	4314	4115
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	XajA3	LHBS01	5.11	65.6	4283	4093
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. juglandis	Xaj4.1	LHBL01	5.11	65.6	4285	4102
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni	CITA 33	JHUQ01	5.10	65.4	4222	3720
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni	IVIA 2626.1	LIGN01	5.02	65.4	4253	3849
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni	CFBP 3894	LOMI01	5.05	65.4	4228	3967
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni	MAFF301420	BAVC01	5.00	65.3	4315	3601
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni	MAFF301427	BAVD01	4.90	65.4	5180	4686
Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni	MAFF311562	BAVB01	5.08	65.3	5422	4897

Table 1 Statistics of the publicly available whole-genome sequence projects of Xanthomonas arboricola.

# DISEASE CYCLE AND SURVIVAL OF THE EPIPHYTE *XAP*

Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (Xap) is able to survive the winter in infected dormant buds, leaf scars, cankers and also on leaf debris that remains on the ground, all of these representing important sources of the survival and spread of the pathogen (Zaccardelli *et al.*, 1998). To date, the biological process associated with this capability of *Xap* has not been determined, but initial results from our research group permit the speculation that several factors, such as the formation of biofilm structures or the possible existence of viable but non-culturable bacterial cells, could play a role.

Bacteria from all of these sources of primary inoculum escape in the form of cryptoexudate and cause initial spring infections (Zaccardelli *et al.*, 1998). At this stage, bacteria multiply epiphytically on young leaves and penetrate through stomata or wounds, causing leaf lesions, which provide the secondary inoculum that produces the infection of fruits, twigs and trunks. Mummified almond fruits, which remain on the trees over time, can also serve as potential inoculum sources (Roselló *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, *Xap* is able to survive on leaf surfaces, forming organized bacterial aggregates or biofilms, or can penetrate inside woody tissues, which also constitutes secondary inoculum.

As in other xanthomonads, the disease cycle of *Xap* initiates when bacterial cells come into contact with the plant surface. At this time, several processes associated with pathogenesis are

triggered, e.g. those related to the sensing of environmental conditions, bacterial adhesion to the surface and biofilm-like structure formation and chemotactic-mediated motility towards the inner space of the plant tissue. As the disease process advances, other virulence factors, such as cell wall-degrading enzymes and protein effectors that suppress plant immunity, may play a major role in the creation of appropriate environmental conditions for the establishment of a bacterial population. Despite the fact that studies of functional genomics have not been conducted on Xap, the information available on this matter from other xanthomonads models, such as X. campestris, X. citri and X. oryzae (Jacques et al., 2016; Ryan et al., 2011), in conjunction with the wholegenome analysis of X. arboricola (Cesbron et al., 2015; Garita-Cambronero et al., 2016a,b,c, 2017), has made possible the elucidation of the potential pathogenomics system of Xap, which is described in the section below.

#### PATHOGENOMICS OF *X. ARBORICOLA* ACCORDING TO THE WHOLE-GENOME COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE SPECIES

Environmental sensing is a crucial step at the beginning of the disease process of plant-pathogenic bacteria and, in general, TonBdependent transporters (TBDTs), sensors of the two-component regulatory system (STCRs) and methyl-accepting proteins (MCPs) are amongst the key components during these initial stages. Homologues to TBDTs, related to carbohydrate scavenging and



Fig. 3 Percentage of occurrence of the virulence-related genes described in *Xanthomonas* in the three most virulent pathovars of *Xanthomonas arboricola*. Each one of the genes is represented by its protein accession number at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. Xacor, *X. arboricola* pv. *corylina*; Xaj, *X. arboricola* pv. *juglandis*; Xap, *X. arboricola* pv. *pruni*.

the transport of iron-siderophore complexes and vitamin B12 to the bacterial periplasm (Ryan et al., 2011), have been found in the publicly available genomes of X. arboricola, as well as in two nonpublicly available genomes of X. arboricola pv. fragariae (Vandroemme et al., 2013a,b). On the whole, X. arboricola harbours at least 72 homologues to TBDTs. This large amount is comparable with those found in other Xanthomonas (Vandroemme et al., 2013a,b). Pathovars corylina, juglandis and pruni present a similar content of TBDTs, with the exception of the homologue to gen XCC4237, which is only present in pathovar juglandis. In particular, in pathovar pruni, at least 13 specific TBDTs were identified, and most have been related to ferric and carbohydrate transportation (Garita-Cambronero et al., 2016c) (Fig. 3). With regard to the STCRs, which are linkers between environmental sensing and other cellular processes, these were found in a large number in X. arboricola (Garita-Cambronero et al., 2017). Pathovar pruni comprises at least 56 homologues to the STCRs described in Xanthomonas (Mhedbi-Hajri et al., 2011). However, two STCRs, possibly associated with a light/oxygen response (AAM35218.1 and AAM36681.1) and present in the other more virulent pathovars corylina and juglandis, were not found in Xap (Fig. 3).

With respect to MCPs, only 11 homologues to those described in other *Xanthomonas* (Mhedbi-Hajri *et al.*, 2011) were found in *Xap*, as well as in the pathovars *corylina* and *juglandis* (Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017) (Fig. 3).

Once the chemotactic signal is recalled by these chemoreceptors, it is transduced to the cytoplasmic chemotaxis transduction system (Scharf *et al.*, 2016), which, in *X. arboricola*, as in most of the xanthomonads, comprises the conserved proteins CheA, CheB, CheD, CheR, CheV, CheW, CheY and CheZ. This chemotactic system is also intrinsically linked to bacterial motility, controlling the direction of the flagellar motor rotation via the interaction of phosphorylated CheY with the flagellar switch system (Scharf *et al.*, 2016). With regard to the flagellum structure, all *X. arboricola* strains analysed harboured the 34 components associated with its regulation and structure (Fig. 3), but one exception to this has been observed in the non-motile strain CFBP 2528, which lacks a group of nine genes of the flagellar system (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, swimming and swarming studies conducted in the pathovars *juglandis* and *pruni* indicated that this bacterial organelle is functional in *X. arboricola* and could play an important role in the pathogenesis of the species (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2016c).

In addition to environmental sensing and bacterial motility, other factors, such as adhesion and the production of biofilm structures, may play central roles in the initial establishment of the bacterial population in the plant (Jacques et al., 2016). Seventeen genes related to the bacterial type IV pilus, which is involved in bacterial attachment, movement across surfaces, orientation and multicellular organization, are present in all X. arboricola (Dunger et al., 2016). Xap presents homologues to pilB, pilE and pill, as well as protein sequences similar to the minor pilins pilA, pilY1, pilX, pilW, pilV and fimT, but with an identity percentage lower than 80% (Fig. 3). Xanthomonas arboricola pathovars corvlina, juglandis and pruni share at least five homologues to non-fimbrial adhesins described in X. campestris pv. vesicatoria and X. citri ssp. citri. These non-fimbrial adhesins are involved in cell attachment, cell-cell interactions and aggregation (Berne et al., 2015). In addition, pathovars pruni and juglandis share a homologue to the haemagglutinin protein CAJ23538.1 of X. vesicatoria (Fig. 3).

Xanthan gum, a virulence-related polysaccharide associated with bacterial attachment and biofilm formation, is produced in

most members of the Xanthomonadaceae (Moreira et al., 2005). The X. arboricola strains analysed showed 11 of the 12 genes of the gum operon (Fig. 3). However, the apparent absence of *aumG* in this species may not affect the polymerization of this polysaccharide, as has been demonstrated in functional genomics studies performed in other Xanthomonas species (Katzen et al., 1998). Furthermore, according to studies using microarray-based comparative genomic hybridizations, gumG may be present, at least, in strain 109 of Xap (Mayer et al., 2011). Homologues to other virulence-related (AAY50853.1, AAY50854.1, proteins AAY50855.1) associated with extracellular polysaccharide production in X. campestris (Lu et al., 2007) are also conserved in all the analysed strains of X. arboricola (Fig. 3).

In *Xanthomonas*, the coordinate expression of the mentioned virulence factors (exopolysaccharide production, motility and biofilm formation), as well as the secretion of extracellular enzymes and the entrance to the apoplast through the stomata, are regulated by the quorum sensing response (Büttner and Bonas, 2010). All *X. arboricola* strains studied, including *Xap*, conserve all the key genes in the synthesis, perception and transduction of the quorum sensing signal in *Xanthomonas*, although RpfC shows an identity lower than 80% in comparison with the other xanthomonads (He and Zhang, 2008) (Fig. 3).

Generally, once bacterial cells move to the inner tissues of the plant, the pathogen starts to secrete a battery of degrading enzymes and effectors that will change the physiology, metabolism and immune responses of the plant. Xanthomonas species release numerous cell wall-degrading enzymes through the xps type II secretion system (T2SS), as well as through outer membrane vesicles (Solé et al., 2015). The analysed strains of X. arboricola presented all the genes associated with a functional xps T2SS, as well as those homologues related to the xcs T2SS, but the latter has not been associated with pathogenesis in Xanthomonas (Fig. 3). With regard to the degrading enzymes putatively translocated through this system, in X. arboricola, at least 13 cellulolytic enzymes, 13 hemicellulolytic enzymes, one hydrolase, four lipases, 10 pectolytic enzymes and seven proteases have been identified. Most pathogenic pathovars of the species shared 40 degrading enzymes, and differed only in the presence of a homologue of the rhamnogalacturonase B (AAM38348.1) in pathovars corylina and pruni, and by the presence of the cellulase AAM38359.1 solely in pathovar juglandis (Cesbron et al., 2015; Garita-Cambronero et al., 2017) (Fig. 3).

The most interesting differences amongst *X. arboricola* strains are related to the T3SS and its associated T3Es, as well as other type III secreted proteins (T3SPs). The *hrp* T3SS in *X. arboricola* is present in almost all the analysed strains, with the exception of some strains isolated from barley (Ignatov *et al.*, 2015), walnut (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Essakhi *et al.*, 2015), *P. mahaleb* (Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2016a) and peach (Garita-Cambronero

*et al.*, 2017). All the latter strains showed a low-virulent or nonpathogenic phenotype after inoculation in the host in which they were isolated, and, in addition, presented a profile comprising zero to seven T3Es and T3SPs; furthermore, none were phylogenetically associated with the described pathovars of *X. arboricola* (Cesbron *et al.*, 2015; Garita-Cambronero *et al.*, 2017).

Comparative analysis amongst the pathovars pruni, corylina and juglandis indicates the presence of all the regulatory and structural components of the hrp2 T3SS (Cesbron et al., 2015; Garita-Cambronero et al., 2016c; Hajri et al., 2012). The T3E and T3SP profile in pathovar pruni comprises at least 19 genes homologous to those present in other xanthomonads. Meanwhile, pathovar corylina harbours 18 homologues and juglandis 17. Homologues to the T3Es xopE3 (AAM36068.1) and xopAQ (EGD19295.1) were solely found in Xap; homologues to xopAE have been found only in pathovars corylina and pruni, whereas the T3Es xopE2 and xopC are only present in pathovars corylina and juglandis, respectively. The remaining 16 T3Es and T3SPs are shared by all three pathovars. In addition, the presence of homologues to other T3Es has been described (for instance, xopE2 in pathovar pruni), although showing a similarity or coverage percentage slightly below 80% (Fig. 3).

The presence of the VirB/VirD4 type IV secretion system has been identified in all the sequenced strains of X. arboricola, but the profile of genes varies amongst the subinfraspecific phylogenetic groups (Cesbron et al., 2015; Garita-Cambronero et al., 2017). With regard to the three pathovars with most phytopathogenic interest, none of the analysed strains harbour homologues to virB5 and virB7, which code, respectively, for a minor subunit of the extracellular pilus and a short lipoprotein part of the pore that permits the secretion of the substrates to the extracellular space (Fronzes et al., 2009). Pathovar pruni differs from pathovars corylina and juglandis in the presence of virB6, which has not been found in pathovar corylina, and is present only in strains Xaj417 and Xaj2 of pathovar juglandis (Fig. 3). In addition, Cesbron et al. (2015) described the presence of a variable profile of type IV effectors (T4Es) amongst pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of X. arboricola isolated from walnut. These T4Es, present only in pathogenic strains of the pathovar juglandis, seem to be located in mobile genome elements probably obtained by horizontal gene transfer. To date, T4Es have not been found in the genome sequences of pathovars corylina and pruni. In respect of other secretion systems, such as the type VI secretion system (Gardiner et al., 2014), none of the comparative analyses performed in X. arboricola have shown homologues to the components of this secretory system.

Most of the pathogenic processes are regulated by twocomponent transduction systems (TCSs). *Xanthomonas* is equipped with a large number of these components, which comprise up to 3% of the entire genome. Recently, Zheng *et al.* 



**Fig. 4** Two-component transduction systems (TCSs) found in *Xanthomonas arboricola* according to the information obtained from the whole-genome sequence comparative analysis of 24 strains. Red arrows indicate protein interactions in each one of the TCSs; green arrows indicate the phenotypic result of the expression of each of the transduction pathways associated with pathogenesis in *Xanthomonas*; the minus sign '–' indicates the repression of a phenotypic character. This figure has been adapted to *X. arboricola* from the general transduction system present in the *Xanthomonas* genus according to Zheng *et al.* (2016). diffusible signal factor (DSF), extracellular polysaccharide; (EPS), type III secretion system effector; (T3SSe).

(2016) have presented an updated overview of the TCSs associated with chemotaxis, motility, carbohydrate metabolism, exopolysaccharide production, biofilm formation, the synthesis of extracellular enzymes and T3SS T3E expression. Figure 4 shows the TCS components described in *Xanthomonas*, which are present in *X. arboricola*, in order to show the potential regulatory pathways associated with virulence.

#### BACTERIAL SPOT OF STONE FRUITS AND ALMOND: DISEASE MANAGEMENT

The establishment and development of *Xap* outbreaks are strongly affected by agroclimatic conditions, cultivation practices and the variable susceptibility of *Prunus* species and cultivars. As for most bacterial diseases, control of bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond is very challenging because of the lack of effective chemical treatments and, once the bacterium is established in the orchard, it is very difficult to control. Therefore, the success of disease control is based on an integrated pest management approach intended to prevent the introduction and dissemination of the pathogen, which includes adequate legislation, the use of low-susceptible plants and suitable agronomic measures, including chemical or other treatments in the orchards, and forecast models.

Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (Xap) is considered to be a quarantine regulated pathogen in some geographical areas, for instance by the European Union (EPPO, 2006; OJEC, 2000). Nursery production of propagating material requires regular inspection of *Prunus* species intended for planting, other than seeds (OJEC, 2000). As a result of the existence of latent infections that enable bacterial spread by propagating material (Dhanvantari, 1971; Goodman and Hatting, 1986; Zaccardelli *et al.*, 1998), rapid and highly sensitive methods for the detection of *Xap*, even in asymptomatic samples, are necessary (Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2011).

The current EPPO standard protocol for the diagnosis of bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond (EPPO, 2006) is based on the isolation of the pathogen, followed by the identification of pure cultures using different techniques, such as biochemical tests, immunofluorescence (IF), protein profiling (sodium dodecylsulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, SDS-PAGE), fatty acid methylester profile analyses (FAME), rep-PCR and pathogenicity tests. However, the protocol does not include the conventional and realtime polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods that are now available, which constitute a significant improvement. Comprehensive reviews of currently available information on the detection and identification of *Xap* have been published (Janse, 2010; López *et al.*, 2010; Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2012).

More recently, new molecular and serological methods have been developed. Bühlmann *et al.* (2013) designed a rapid and sensitive protocol based on loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) enabling reliable discrimination between phylogenetically closely related *X. arboricola* pathovars and other bacteria associated with *Xap* host plants. Moreover, Garita-Cambronero *et al.* (2017) have designed a real-time PCR amplification protocol based on the virulence-associated gene *xopE3*, described as specific for *Xap* (Pothier *et al.*, 2011), which can be used in conjunction with the method designed on the ABC transporter system (Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2011) for the precise detection and identification of the xanthomonads detected in *Prunus* spp. With regard to serological techniques, a lateral flow immunoassay has been designed for the detection of *Xap* in symptomatic samples (López-Soriano *et al.*, 2017).

The use of tolerant or resistant cultivars in diseasethreatened areas is strongly recommended. Nevertheless, most peach, apricot and Japanese plum genotypes are either susceptible or very susceptible to Xap. There is abundant literature on the susceptibility of different cultivated Prunus, but only limited information on the susceptibility of almond cultivars (Bazzi et al., 1990; Garcin and Bresson, 2011; Palacio-Bielsa et al., 2010; Ritchie, 1995; Simeone, 1990; Topp et al., 1989). Few reports of the successful breeding for resistance to Xap are available. Moreover, the scarcity of stone fruit germplasm with high levels of disease resistance is a constraint for the preventative control of the bacterium. Mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with resistance against Xap in apricot and peach has been performed in order to develop assisted molecular markers that could be applied for breeding (Socquet-Juglard et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013).

Cropping conditions, such as irrigation, fertilization and the timing and frequency of pruning, are factors that also play a role in outbreaks and the severity of the disease. Cultural practices must be addressed to reduce inoculum levels. Symptomatic plant material, including fallen leaves, as well as fruits that remain on the tree after harvest, should be removed. Particularly, in affected plum orchards, where cankers may develop extensively, branches with lesions caused by *Xap* must be pruned during the winter, whereas, in spring and summer, an accurate visual inspection is advised in order to detect newly formed bacterial cankers. Disinfection of pruning tools should be performed to prevent bacterial dissemination.

Amongst the factors that promote the occurrence of severe outbreaks of bacterial spot disease, sand or sandy loam soils with high clay content are considered to favour bacterial spot susceptibility of *Prunus* (Lamichhane, 2014). Overhead irrigation is a serious obstacle for disease control and must be avoided. Moreover, imbalance in nutrients (with an excess of nitrogen) renders host plants more susceptible to infections, and so moderate inputs of fertilizers during the growing seasons are preferable to a single, abundant application in spring (Stefani, 2010). Protection provided by windbreaks has also proved to be an effective measure against *Xap* dissemination inside orchards, as they reduce its spread by wind-blown rain (Ritchie, 1995, 1999). The use of antibiotics for plant protection, such as streptomycin or oxytetracycline, has proven to be effective against *Xap* (Dhanvantari *et al.*, 1978), but is prohibited, amongst other countries, in the whole European Union. The strategy most widely used in the field is chemical control based on the application of copper compounds, which is preferential and intensively applied in autumn and spring before flowering. Copper could be applied during the growing season if a disease outbreak occurs in order to reduce secondary bacterial inoculum (Stefani, 2010). However, it should be considered that copper may cause phytotoxicity, particularly in peach and nectarine. Moreover, the presence of *Xap* isolates resistant to copper in Italian orchards has been confirmed recently (Giovanardi *et al.*, 2016a).

The use of zinc sulfate has also been reported for the preventative control of bacterial spot on peach in Uruguay (Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2015). The use of sulfur compounds, which are registered for use against fungi and mites but not bacteria, is advisable in integrated pest management in those orchards showing fungal diseases, as they also have some efficacy in controlling *Xap* (Mclaren *et al.*, 2005).

Increasing limitation of chemical treatments has stimulated interest into the application of biocontrol agents against bacterial diseases. The use of bacterial antagonists against *Xap*, such as strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Biondi *et al.*, 2009), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (da Silva Vasconcellos *et al.*, 2014) and *Xanthomonas campestris* (Kawaguchi *et al.*, 2014), has been experimentally assayed. Selected bacteriophages have also been considered as candidates for the control of *Xap*. However, their large-scale use could be troublesome because of reduced epiphytic phage survival, interference with commonly used pesticides and the risk of bacterial immunity development (Zaccardelli *et al.*, 1992).

Although it is still at the developmental stage, another possible approach is the use of certain bioactive molecules, such as glucohumates, described as biostimulants or inducers of systemic resistance and foliar fertilizers (Giovanardi *et al.*, 2016b).

Moreover, the use of elicitor peptides (Peps) has been evaluated against *Xap* in *ex vivo* assays on *Prunus* spp. These peptides boost resistance on peach and almond plants, which show an enhancement of their resistance to the bacterial pathogen. Application of such peptides could be used when disease-predictive models indicate a high probability of pathogen infection (Ruiz *et al.*, 2017).

Finally, the development and implementation of predictive disease models might be of great help to correctly plan field treatments to control *Xap* in stone fruit and almond orchards, avoiding spraying when there is reduced or no risk of disease. The capacity of *Xap* for spread, weather conditions and disease outbreaks are closely associated, and so the disease does not show a very consistent pattern (Battilani *et al.*, 1999). A forecast model has been developed for peach in France (Garcin *et al.*, 2011) and studies for the development of a prediction model have been performed in Spain (Morales *et al.*, 2017). All of these studies must be validated during several seasons for different *Prunus* species and under local conditions.

#### **FUTURE PROSPECTS**

Studies to improve our knowledge about *X. arboricola* species infection mechanisms have revealed an understanding of how these bacteria specifically interact with their precise hosts. The recent availability of genomic data on *Xap* strains, in addition to data for other closely related *Xanthomonas* spp. from the same host or bacterial species, has provided some clues to the key steps followed by these pathogenic bacteria in the different stages of infection establishment. Further functional studies are needed to conclusively confirm the essential specific elements involved in plant–bacteria interaction. This information will allow the future development of new control strategies based on the targeting of the mechanisms comprising the relationship of the bacteria with the host, for instance by blocking those that participate in adhesion, chemotaxis, biofilm formation or enzyme delivery to the plant cell or in the suppression of plant immunity.

Moreover, genomic studies have elucidated the existence of a diversity of *X. arboricola* strains associated with *Prunus* spp., which will permit the definition of those strains hazardous to plant health and epidemiologically relevant, and therefore needing to be regulated.

Genomic analysis of *X. arboricola* is a good example of the practical implications of such studies in plant disease control, not only for the future development of innovative control strategies, but because their use in the precise identification of pathogenic bacteria can avoid putative costly mistakes caused by the adoption of unnecessary control measures.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported financially by the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA) project RTA2014-00018. The authors are members of the COST Action CA16107 Euro-Xanth: Integrating science on *Xanthomonadaceae* for integrated plant disease management in Europe.

#### REFERENCES

- Barionovi, D. and Scortichini, M. (2006) Assessment of integron gene cassette arrays in strains of Xanthomonas fragariae and X. arboricola pvs. fragariae and pruni. J. Plant Pathol. 88, 279–284.
- Battilani, P., Rossi, V. and Saccardi, A. (1999) Development of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni epidemics on peaches. J. Plant Pathol. 81, 161–171.
- Bazzi, C., Stefani, E. and Mazzucchi, U. (1990) Plum susceptibility to Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni in the Po Valley. In: Proceedings of the 7th Conference on Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Klement Z, ed. pp. 985–990. Budapest, Hungary. Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Bergsma-Vlami, M., Martin, W., Koenraadt, H., Teunissen, H., Pothier, J.F., Duffy, B. and Doorn, J.V. (2012) Molecular typing of Dutch isolates of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *pruni* isolated from ornamental cherry laurel. *J. Plant Pathol.* 94, S1.29–S1.35.

- Berne, C., Ducret, A., Hardy, G.G. and Brun, Y.V. (2015) Adhesins involved in attachment to abiotic surfaces by Gram-negative bacteria. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **3**, 1–45.
- Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J. and Hirt, H. (2015) Signaling mechanisms in patterntriggered immunity (PTI). *Mol. Plant.* 8, 521–539.
- Biondi, E., Dallai, D., Brunelli, A., Bazzi, C. and Stefani, E. (2009) Use of a bacterial antagonist for the biological control of bacterial leaf/fruit spot of stone fruits. *IOBC Bull.* 43, 277–281.
- Boudon, S., Manceau, C. and Nottéghem, J.-L. (2005) Structure and origin of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni populations causing bacterial spot of stone fruit trees in Western Europe. *Phytopathology*, 95, 1081–1088.
- Bühlmann, A., Pothier, J.F., Tomlinson, J.A., Frey, J.E., Boonham, N., Smits, T.H.M. and Duffy, B. (2013) Genomics-informed design of loop-mediated isothermal amplification for detection of phytopathogenic Xanthomonas arboricola pv.pruni at the intraspecific level. Plant Pathol. 62, 475–484.
- Büttner, D. and Bonas, U. (2010) Regulation and secretion of Xanthomonas virulence factors. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 34, 107–133.
- Cesbron, S., Pothier, J., Gironde, S., Jacques, M.A. and Manceau, C. (2014) Development of multilocus variable-number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) for *Xanthomonas arboricola* pathovars. J. Microbiol. Methods, **100**, 84–90.
- Cesbron, S., Briand, M., Essakhi, S., Gironde, S., Boureau, T., Manceau, C., Fischer-Le Saux, M. and Jacques, M.A. (2015) Comparative genomics of pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains of *Xanthomonas arboricola* unveil molecular and evolutionary events linked to pathoadaptation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 1126.
- Dhanvantari, B.N. (1971) Overwintering sources of inoculum of bacterial spot of peach (*Xanthomonas pruni*) in southwestern Ontario. *Proc. Can. Phytopathol. Soc.* 37, 21–30.
- Dhanvantari, B.N., Dirks, V.A. and Brown, R.J. (1978) Effectiveness of antibiotics for control of bacterial spot of peach in southwestern Ontario. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 58, 953–959.
- Dunger, G., Llontop, E., Guzzo, C.R. and Farah, C.S. (2016) The Xanthomonas type IV pilus. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 30, 88–97.
- EFSA PLH Panel (EFSA Panel on Plant Health). (2014) Scientific opinion on pest categorisation of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni (Smith, 1903). EFSA J. 12, 1–25.
- EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization). (2006) Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni. EPPO Bull. 36, 129–133.
- Essakhi, S., Cesbron, S., Fischer-Le Saux, M., Bonneau, S., Jacques, M.A. and Manceau, C. (2015) Phylogenetic and VNTR analysis identified non-pathogenic lineages within *Xanthomonas arboricola* lacking the canonical type three secretion system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 5395–5410.
- Fischer-Le Saux, M., Bonneau, S., Essakhi, S., Manceau, C. and Jacques, M.A.A. (2015) Aggressive emerging pathovars of *Xanthomonas arboricola* represent widespread epidemic clones distinct from poorly pathogenic strains, as revealed by multilocus sequence typing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 4651–4668.
- Fronzes, R., Christie, P.J. and Waksman, G. (2009) The structural biology of type IV secretion systems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7, 703–714.
- Garcin, A. and Bresson, J. (2011) Sensibilité des arbres à noyau au Xanthomonas Bilan de huit ans d'expérimentation. L'arboriculture Fruitière, 653, 30–33.
- Garcin, A., Vibert, J. and Leclerc, L. (2011) Xanthomonas sur pêcher: étude des conditions d'infection (1re Partie). Développement de l'outil. Infos CTIFL. 268, 26–33.
- Gardiner, D.M., Upadhyaya, N.M., Stiller, J., Ellis, J.G., Dodds, P.N., Kazan, K. and Manners, J.M. (2014) Genomic analysis of *Xanthomonas translucens* pathogenic on wheat and barley reveals cross-kingdom gene transfer events and diverse protein delivery systems. *PLoS One*, 9, e84995.
- Garita-Cambronero, J., Sena-Vélez, M., Palacio-Bielsa, A. and Cubero, J. (2014) Draft genome sequence of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni strain Xap33, causal agent of bacterial spot disease on almond. *Genome Announc.* 2, e00440–14.
- Garita-Cambronero, J., Palacio-Bielsa, A., López, M.M. and Cubero, J. (2016a) Comparative genomic and phenotypic characterization of pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of *Xanthomonas arboricola* reveals insights into the infection process of bacterial spot disease of stone fruits. *PLoS One*, 11, e0161977.
- Garita-Cambronero, J., Palacio-Bielsa, A., López, M.M. and Cubero, J. (2016b) Draft genome sequence for virulent and avirulent strains of *Xanthomonas arbori*cola isolated from *Prunus* spp. in Spain. *Stand. Genomic Sci.* 11, 1–10.
- Garita-Cambronero, J., Palacio-Bielsa, A., López, M.M. and Cubero, J. (2016c) Draft genome sequence of two strains of *Xanthomonas arboricola* isolated from *Prunus persica* which are dissimilar to strains that cause bacterial spot disease on *Prunus* spp. *Genome Announc.* 4, e00974–16.

- Garita-Cambronero, J., Palacio-Bielsa, A., López, M.M. and Cubero, J. (2017) Pan-genomic analysis permits differentiation of virulent and non-virulent strains of *Xanthomonas arboricola* that cohabit *Prunus* spp. and elucidate bacterial virulence factors. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 573.
- Gétaz, M., Baeyen, S., Blom, J., Maes, M., Cottyn, B. and Pothier, J. (2018) High-quality draft genome sequences of five *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. fragariae isolates. *Genome Announc.* 6, e01585–17.
- Giovanardi, D., Dallai, D. and Stefani, E. (2016a) Population features of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni from Prunus spp. orchards in northern Italy. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 147, 761–771.
- Giovanardi, D., Dallai, D., Dondini, L., Mantovani, V. and Stefani, E. (2016b) Elicitation of resistance to bacterial canker of stone fruits by humic and fulvic acids (glucohumates): a cDNA-AFLP-dHPLC approach. *Sci. Hortic.* **212**, 183–192.
- Goodman, C.A. (1988) Mechanical transmission of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *pruni* in plum nursery trees. *Plant Dis.* **72**, 643.
- Goodman, C.A. and Hatting, M.J. (1986) Transmission of Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni in plum and apricot nursery trees by budding. HortScience, 21, 995–996.
- Hajri, A., Pothier, J.F., Saux, M.F.L., Bonneau, S., Poussier, S., Boureau, T., Duffy, B. and Manceau, C. (2012) Type three effector gene distribution and sequence analysis provide new insights into the pathogenicity of plant-pathogenic *Xanthomonas arboricola. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**, 371–384.
- Harrison, J., Grant, M.R. and Studholme, D.J. (2016) Draft genome sequences of two strains of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *celebensis* isolated from banana plants. *Genome Announc.* 4, e01705–e01715.
- Haworth, R.H. and Spiers, A.G. (1992) Isolation of Xanthomonas campestris pv. populi from stem lesions on Salix matsudana X alba' Aokautere' in New Zealand. For. Pathol. 22, 247–251.
- Hayward, A.C. (1993) The hosts of *Xanthomonas*. In *Xanthomonas*, (J.G. Swings and E.L. Civerolo eds), pp. 1–119. Chapman and Hall, London, United Kingdom.
- He, Y.-W.W. and Zhang, L.-H.H. (2008) Quorum sensing and virulence regulation in Xanthomonas campestris. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32, 842–857.
- Higuera, G., González-Escalona, N., Véliz, C., Vera, F. and Romero, J. (2015) Draft genome sequences of four *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *juglandis* strains associated with walnut blight in Chile. *Genome Announc.* **3**, e01160–15.
- Ibarra Caballero, J., Zerillo, M.M., Snelling, J., Boucher, C. and Tisserat, N. (2013) Genome sequence of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *corylina*, isolated from Turkish Filbert in Colorado. *Genome Announc.* 1, e00246–13.
- Ignatov, A.N., Kyrova, E.I., Vinogradova, S.V., Kamionskaya, A.M., Schaad, N.W. and Luster, D.G. (2015) Draft genome sequence of *Xanthomonas arboricola* strain 3004, a causal agent of bacterial disease on barley. *Genome Announc.* 3, e01572–14.
- Jacques, M.-A., Arlat, M., Boulanger, A., Boureau, T., Carrère, S., Cesbron, S., Chen, N.W.G., Cociancich, S., Darrasse, A., Denancé, N., Fischer-Le Saux, M., Gagnevin, L., Koebnik, R., Lauber, E., Noël, L.D., Pieretti, I., Portier, P., Pruvost, O., Rieux, A., Robène, I., Royer, M., Szurek, B., Verdier, V. and Vernière, C. (2016) Using ecology, physiology, and genomics to understand host specificity in Xanthomonas. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 54, 163–187.
- Jami, F., Kazempour, M.N., Elahinia, S.A. and Khodakaramian, G. (2005) First report of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni on stone fruit trees from Iran. J. Phytopathol. 153, 371–372.
- Janse, J.D. (2010) Diagnostic methods for phytopathogenic bacteria of stone fruits and nuts in COST 873. EPPO Bull. 40, 68–85.
- Katzen, F., Ferreiro, D.U., Oddo, C.G., Ielmini, M.V., Becker, A., Pühler, A. and Ielpi, L. (1998) *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* gum mutants: effects on xanthan biosynthesis and plant virulence. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**, 1607–1617.
- Kawaguchi, A. (2014) Genetic diversity of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni strains in Japan revealed by DNA fingerprinting. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 80, 366–369.
- Kawaguchi, A., Inoue, K. and Inoue, Y. (2014) Biological control of bacterial spot on peach by nonpathogenic *Xanthomonas campestris* strains AZ98101 and AZ98106. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 80, 158–163.
- Lamichhane, J.R. (2014) Xanthomonas arboricola diseases of stone fruit, almond, and walnut trees: progress toward understanding and management. Plant Dis. 98, 1600–1610.
- López, M.M., Roselló, M. and Palacio-Bielsa, A. (2010) Diagnosis and detection of the main bacterial pathogens of stone fruit and almond. J. Plant Pathol. 92, S1.57–S1.66.
- López-Soriano, P. (2017) Especies de Xanthomonas causantes de enfermedades en frutales de hueso y almendro: diagnóstico, diversidad genética y taxonomía. PhD Thesis. Valencia: Universitat Politècnica de València.

- López-Soriano, P., Boyer, K., Cesbron, S., Morente, M.C., Peñalver, J., Palacio-Bielsa, A., Vernière, C., López, M.M. and Pruvost, O. (2016) Multilocus variable number of tandem repeat analysis reveals multiple introductions in Spain of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni, the causal agent of bacterial spot disease of stone fruits and almond. *PLoS One*, **11**, e0163729.
- López-Soriano, P., Noguera, P., Gorris, M.T., Puchades, R., Maquieira, Á., Marco-Noales, E. and López, M.M. (2017) Lateral flow immunoassay for on-site detection of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni in symptomatic field samples. PLoS One, 12, e0176201.
- Lu, G.T., Ma, Z.M., Hu, J.R., Tang, D.J., He, Y.Q., Feng, J.X. and Tang, J.L. (2007) A novel locus involved in extracellular polysaccharide production and virulence of *Xanthomonas campestris* pathovar *campestris*. *Microbiology*, **153**, 737–746.
- Mayer, L., Vendruscolo, C.T., Silva, W.P., Vorhölter, F.J., Becker, A. and Pühler, A. (2011) Insights into the genome of the xanthan-producing phytopathogen *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni 109 by comparative genomic hybridization. J. Biotechnol. 155, 40–49.
- Mclaren, G.F., Vanneste, J.L. and Marshall, R.R. (2005) Sulphur as an alternative to copper for the control of bacterial blast on nectarine fruit. NZ Plant Prot. 58, 96–100.
- Mhedbi-Hajri, N., Darrasse, A., Pigné, S., Durand, K., Fouteau, S., Barbe, V., Manceau, C., Lemaire, C. and Jacques, M.-A. (2011) Sensing and adhesion are adaptive functions in the plant pathogenic xanthomonads. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11, 67.
- Morales, G., Llorente, I., Montesinos, E. and Moragrega, C. (2017) A model for predicting *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *pruni* growth as a function of temperature. *PLoS One*, **12**, e0177583.
- Moreira, L.M., De Souza, R.F., Digiampietri, L.A., Silva, A.C.R. and Da Setubal, J.C. (2005) Comparative analyses of *Xanthomonas* and *Xylella* complete genomes. *OMICS*, 9, 43–76.
- OJEC (Official Journal of the European Union). (2000) Council Directive 2000/29/ EC of 8 May 2000 on protective measures against the introduction into the Community of organisms harmful to plants or plant products and against their spread within the Community. Official J. Eur. Communities, L169, 1–112.
- Palacio-Bielsa, A., Roselló, M., Cambra, M.A. and López, M.M. (2010) First report on almond in Europe of bacterial spot disease of stone fruits caused by *Xan*thomonas arboricola pv. pruni. Plant Dis. 94, 786.
- Palacio-Bielsa, A., Cubero, J., Cambra, M.A., Collados, R., Berruete, I.M. and López, M.M. (2011) Development of an efficient real-time quantitative PCR protocol for detection of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni in Prunus species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 89–97.
- Palacio-Bielsa, A., Pothier, J., Roselló, M., Duffy, B. and López, M.M. (2012) Detection and identification methods and new tests as developed and used in the framework of cost 873 for bacteria pathogenic to stone fruits and nuts. J. Plant Pathol. 94, S1.135–S1.146.
- Palacio-Bielsa, A., Beruete, I., López, M.M., Penalver, J., Morente, C., Cubero, J., Garita-Cambronero, J., Sabuquillo, P., Redondo, C., Mitidieri, M., Bauer Gomes, C., Ueno, B., Suita de Castro, L.A., Leoni, C. and Silvera, E. (2015) La mancha bacteriana de los frutales de hueso y del almendro (*Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni) en España y Sudamérica. *Phytoma*, 271, 21–28.
- Pothier, J.F., Vorhölter, F.J., Blom, J., Goesmann, A., Pühler, A., Smits, T.H.M. and Duffy, B. (2011) The ubiquitous plasmid pXap41 in the invasive phytopathogen Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni: complete sequence and comparative genomic analysis. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 323, 52–60.
- Ritchie, D.F. (1995) Bacterial spot. In: Compendium of Stone Fruit Diseases (Ogawa, M., Zehr, E.I., Bird, G.W., Ritchie, D.F., Uriu, K., and Uyemoto, J.K., eds), pp. 50– 52. Saint Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society.
- Ritchie, D.F. (1999) Sprays for control of bacterial spot of peach cultivars having different levels of disease susceptibility, 1998. *Fungic. Nematic. Tests*, 54, 63–64.
- Roselló, M., Santiago, R., Palacio-Bielsa, A., García-Figueres, F., Montón, C., Cambra, M. and López, M. (2012) Current status of bacterial spot of stone fruits and almond caused by *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni in Spain. J. Plant Pathol. 94, 15–21.
- Ruiz, C., Nadal, A., Montesinos, E. and Pla, M. (2017) Novel Rosaceae plant elicitor peptides as sustainable tools to control *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni in Prunus spp. Mol. Plant Pathol. 19, 418–431.
- Ryan, R.P., Vorhölter, F.-J., Potnis, N., Jones, J.B., Sluys, M.-A., Van Bogdanove, A.J. and Dow, J.M. (2011) Pathogenomics of *Xanthomonas*: understanding bacterium–plant interactions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 344–355.
- Scharf, B.E., Hynes, M.F. and Alexandre, G.M. (2016) Chemotaxis signaling systems in model beneficial plant–bacteria associations. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 549–559.
- da Silva Vasconcellos, F.C., de Oliveira, A.G., Lopes-Santos, L., Torres Cely, M., Simionato, A., Pistori, J., Spago, F., de Mello, J., San Martin, J., Jesus

Andrade, C. and Andrade, G. (2014) Evaluation of antibiotic activity produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LV strain against *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni. Agric. Sci. 5, 71–76.

- Simeone, A.M. (1990) Observation on cultivar susceptibility to natural infections of Xanthomonas pruni in a plum collection. Riv. Fruttic. Ortofloric. 54, 61–63.
- Socquet-Juglard, D., Duffy, B., Pothier, J.F., Christen, D., Gessler, C. and Patocchi, A. (2013) Identification of a major QTL for Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni resistance in apricot. Tree Genet. Genomes, 9, 409–421.
- Solé, M., Scheibner, F., Hoffmeister, A.-K., Hartmann, N., Hause, G., Rother, A., Jordan, M., Lautier, M., Arlat, M. and Büttner, D. (2015) Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria secretes proteases and xylanases via the Xps type II secretion system and outer membrane vesicles. J. Bacteriol. 197, 2879–2893.
- Stefani, E. (2010) Economic significance and control of bacterial spot/canker of stone fruits caused by Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni. J. Plant Pathol. 92, 99–104.
- Tjou-Tam-Sin, N.N.A., van de Bilt, J.L.J., Bergsma-Vlami, M., Koenraadt, H., Naktuinbouw, J.W., Doorn, J., van, Pham, K.T.K. and Martin, W.S. (2012) First report of Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni in ornamental Prunus laurocerasus in the Netherlands. Plant Dis. 96, 759.
- Topp, B.L., Heaton, J.B., Russell, D.M. and Mayer, R. (1989) Field susceptibility of Japanese-type plums to Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 29, 905–909.

- Vandroemme, J., Cottyn, B., Pothier, J.F., Pflüger, V., Duffy, B. and Maes, M. (2013a) Xanthomonas arboricola pv. fragariae: what's in a name? Plant Pathol. 62, 1123–1131.
- Vandroemme, J., Cottyn, B., Baeyen, S., Vos, P.D. and Maes, M. (2013b) Draft genome sequence of *Xanthomonas fragariae* reveals reductive evolution and distinct virulence-related gene content. *BMC Genomics*, 14, 829.
- Vauterin, L., Hoste, B., Kersters, K. and Swings, J. (1995) Reclassification of Xanthomonas. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 45, 472–489.
- Yang, N., Reighard, G., Ritchie, D., Okie, W. and Gasic, K. (2013) Mapping quantitative trait loci associated with resistance to bacterial spot (*Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni) in peach. Tree Genet. Genomes, 9, 573–586.
- Young, J.M., Park, D.-C., Shearman, H.M. and Fargier, E. (2008) A multilocus sequence analysis of the genus Xanthomonas. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 31, 366–377.
- Zaccardelli, M., Saccardi, A., Gambin, E. and Mazzucchi, U. (1992) Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni bacteriophages on peach trees and their potential use for biological control. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **31**, 133–140.
- Zaccardelli, M., Malaguti, S. and Bazzi, C. (1998) Biological and epidemiological aspects of *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. pruni on peach in Italy. J. Plant Pathol. 80, 125–132.
- Zheng, D., Yao, X., Duan, M., Luo, Y., Liu, B., Qi, P., Sun, M. and Ruan, L. (2016) Two overlapping two-component systems in *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* contribute to full fitness in rice by regulating virulence factors expression. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 22 768.